

# The Probiotics news

MCI (P) 143/06/2016



An educational project by MD Pharmaceuticals Pte Ltd

Table 4. Summary of randomized clinical intervention trials of probiotics and synbiotics in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

Table with 5 columns: Reference, Subjects, Strain/Dose, Time, Main Outcome. It lists studies by Vajro P et al., Aller R et al., Alisi A et al., Wong VW et al., and Eslamparast T et al.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine amino transferase; ASP, aspartate amino transferase; CFU, colony-forming-unit; FOS, fructo-oligosaccharides; γ-GT, γ-glutamyltransferase; GLP1, glucagon-like peptide 1; IHTG, intrahepatic triacylglycerol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB; TC, total cholesterol; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; wk, week.

### 3. Methodology

A comprehensive search of the relevant literature was performed in electronic databases, including MEDLINE (PubMed), EMBASE and Cochrane Library. MEDLINE through PubMed was searched for human clinical trial articles that were published between 2000 and 2016 in English using the MeSH terms "probiotics" and "synbiotics" combined with "obesity", "insulin resistance", "diabetes mellitus, type 2", "non-alcoholic fatty liver disease" and "metabolic syndrome X". Here, we evaluate results obtained using the following equation search: ("obesity"[All Fields] OR "insulin resistance"[All Fields] OR "metabolic syndrome X"[All Fields] OR "non-alcoholic fatty liver disease"[All Fields] OR "diabetes mellitus, Type 2"[All Fields] AND ("probiotics"[All Fields] OR "synbiotics" [All Fields] AND Clinical Trial[ptyp]), which yielded 66 articles. Only were included the human clinical studies regarding to obesity, IRS, T2D and NAFLD participants. A total of 36 articles were selected and revised. Additionally, we searched the reference lists of the included studies for potential relevant literature.

### 4. Conclusions

The present review focuses on the clinical effects that support the use of probiotics as a coadjutant strategy for the prevention and treatment of obesity, IRS, T2D and NAFLD. The current scientific evidence regarding to overweight and obese patients that received some probiotics and synbiotics shows a significant reduction in the abdominal adiposity and BMI; and also, probiotic supplementation produced an improvement in the metabolism of carbohydrates, as well as a reduction in the metabolic stress in patients with T2D and IRS. Moreover, an improved serum lipid profile was observed in patients with T2D after the consumption of synbiotics. The effects of probiotics in patients with NAFLD were primarily an improvement in the liver function and metabolic parameters. Some clinical results reported beneficial effects using both probiotic and synbiotic supplementation. However, in this review, we discussed some studies that did not show any significant effect of probiotic administration on these chronic diseases. These contradictory effects in the reported studies might be related to inappropriate design such as diversity, the use of several strains, and the small number of individuals receiving some interventions.

Undeniably, further studies to evaluate the best dose-response effect of probiotics and synbiotics are needed, including following up with patients after the probiotic intervention to evaluate the persistence of their potential beneficial effects in obesity, IRS, T2D, and NAFLD.

#### Author Contributions

Maria Jose Sáez-Lara, Candido Robles-Sanchez, Francisco Javier Ruiz-Ojeda, Julio Plaza-Diaz and Angel Gil contributed to the planning of the literature search, designed the analysis and presentation of the results, created the tool for assessing the quality of the articles, and were involved in the analyses of the articles. Maria Jose Sáez-Lara, Candido Robles-Sanchez, Francisco Javier Ruiz-Ojeda, Julio Plaza-Diaz and Angel Gil wrote the draft. All authors discussed and revised all drafts and approved the final manuscript.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. © 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Quick Facts

#### Study Links Asthma to Low Levels of Key Gut Bacteria in Infants\*

A new study published in the journal Science Translational Medicine suggests that infants who lack certain gut microbes in the first three months after birth were more likely to be diagnosed with asthma by age 3. In the study, researchers examined the gut bacteria of 319 infants at 3 months and 1 year old. At 3 months old, 22 of the infants in the study had a high risk of developing asthma. It was observed that the infants with higher asthma risk also had lower levels of four types of gut bacteria: Lachnospira, Veillonella, Faecalibacterium, and Rothia. By age 3, most of the group of 22 had been diagnosed with asthma.

\*Arrieta M-C et al. Early infancy microbial and metabolic alterations affect risk of childhood asthma. Sci Transl Med 2015 Sep 30; 7:307ra152.

#### References

1. Backhed, F., Ley, R.E., Sonnenberg, J.L., Peterson, D.A., Gordon, J.I. Host bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. Science 2005, 307, 1915–1920.
2. Hoop, L.M., Midvedt, T., Gordon, J.I. How host-microbial interactions shape the nutrient environment of the mammalian intestine. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 2002, 22,283–307.
3. Plaza-Diaz, J., Fernandez-Caballero, J.A., Chueca, N., Garcia, F., Gomez-Lorente, C., Saez-Lara, M.J., Fontana, L., Gil, A. Pyrosequencing analysis reveals changes in intestinal microbiota of healthy adults who received a daily dose of immunomodulatory probiotic strains. Nutrients 2015, 7, 3999–4015.
4. McCoy, K.D., Keller, Y. New developments providing mechanistic insight into the impact of the microbiota on allergic disease. Clin. Immunol. 2015, 159, 170–176.
5. Turnbaugh, P.J., Hamady, M., Yatsunami, T., Gordon, J.I. An under-recognized and growing health problem. Postgrad. Med. J. 2015, 91, 639–645.
6. Lanthier, N., Leclercq, I.A. Adipose tissues as endocrine target organs. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2014, 28, 545–558.
7. Qin, J.; Li, Y.; Cai, Z.; Li, S.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, F.; Liang, S.; Zhang, W.; Guan, Y.; Shen, D.; et al. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. Nature 2012, 490, 59–60.
8. Forslund, K., Hildebrand, F., Nielsen, T., Falony, G., Le Chatelier, E., Sunagawa, S., Prifti, E., Vieira-Silva, S., Gudmundsdottir, V., Krogh, Pedersen, H., et al. Disentangling type 2 diabetes and metformin treatment signatures in the human gut microbiota. Nature 2015, 521, 262–266.
9. Qin, J.; Li, R.; Raes, J.; Arumugam, M.; Burgdorf, K.S.; Manichanh, C.; Nielsen, T.; Pons, N.; Levenez, F.; Yamada, T.; et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 2010, 464, 59–65.
10. Karlsson, F.H., Tremaroli, V., Nookaew, I., Bergström, G., Behre, C.J., Fagerberg, B., Nielsen, J., Backhed, F. Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and diabetic glucose control. Nature 2013, 498, 99–103.
11. Turnbaugh, P.J., Hamady, M., Yatsunami, T., Cantarel, B.L., Duncan, A.; Ley, R.E.; Sogin, M.L.; Jones, W.J.; Roe, B.A.; Affourtit, J.P., et al. A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. Nature 2009, 457, 480–484.
12. Sanchez, M., Danmont, C., Drapeau, V., Emady-Azar, S., Lepage, M., Reznicek, E., Ngom-Bru, C., Berger, B., Philippe, L., Armonzon-Uffrey, C., et al. Effect of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG/MCC13724 supplementation on weight loss and maintenance in obese men and women. Br. J. Nutr. 2014, 111, 1507–1519.
13. Hong, H.R.; Ha, C.D.; Kong, J.Y.; Lee, S.H.; Song, M.G.; Kang, H.S. Roles of physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness on sex difference in insulin resistance in late elementary years. J. Exerc. Nutr. Biochem. 2014, 18, 361–369.
14. Juhani-Vague, I., Mourange, P.E., Alessi, M.C. The insulin resistance syndrome: Implications for thrombotic and cardiovascular disease. Pathophysiol. Haemost. Thromb. 2002, 32, 269–273.
15. Jun-Ling, H.; Hu-Ling, L. Intestinal microbiota and type 2 diabetes: From mechanism insights to therapeutic perspective. World J. Gastroenterol. 2014, 20, 1772–1785.
16. Larsen, N.; Vogensen, F.K.; van den Berg, F.W.J.; Nielsen, D.S.; Andreasen, A.S.; Pedersen, B.K.; Al-Soud, W.A.; Sorensen, S.J.; Hansen, L.H.; Jakobsen, M. Gut microbiota in human adults with type 2 diabetes differs from non-diabetic adults. PLoS ONE 2010, 5, e9808.
17. Chung, C.J.; Chung, S.K.; Venkatesh, M.C. Probiotic supplementation prevents high-fat, overfeeding-induced insulin resistance in human subjects. Br. J. Nutr. 2015, 113, 598–602.
18. Ley, R.E.; Backhed, F.; Turnbaugh, P.; Lozupone, C.A.; Knight, R.D.; Gordon, J.I. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2005, 102, 11070–11075.
19. World Health Organization and Food & Agriculture Organization. Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food; Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Working Group on Drafting Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food; FAO/WHO: London, ON, Canada, 2002; Available online: ftp://ftp.fao.org/es/tesn/food/wgreport2.pdf (accessed on 2 June 2016).
20. Plaza-Diaz, J., Gomez-Lorente, C., Abadia-Molina, F., Saez-Lara, M.J., Campaña-Martin, L., Muñoz-Quezada, S., Romero, F., Gil, A.; Fontana, L. Effects of Lactobacillus paracasei CNCM I-4034, Bifidobacterium breve CNCM I-4035 and Lactobacillus rhamnosus CNCM I-4036 on hepatic steatosis in Zucker rats. PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e98401.
21. World Health Organization, Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Health and Nutritional Properties of Probiotics in Food including Powdered Milk with Live Lactic Acid Bacteria; FAO Nutrition Paper; FAO: Córdoba, Argentina, 2001; Volume 85, pp. 1–33.
22. Hill, C., Guarner, F., Reid, G., Gibson, G.R.; Merenstein, D.J.; Pot, B.; Morelli, L.; Canani, R.B.; Flint, H.J.; Salminen, S., et al. Expert consensus document: The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2014, 11, 506–514.
23. Krus, W.; Fric, P.; Pokrotnieks, J.; Lukás, M.; Fíxa, B.; Kasák, M.; Kamm, M.A.; Weismüller, J.; Beglinger, C.; Stolte, M., et al. Maintaining remission of ulcerative colitis with the probiotic Eschrichia coli Nissle 1917 is as effective as with standard mesalazine. Gut 2004, 53, 1617–1623.
24. Bermudez-Brito, M., Plaza-Diaz, J., Muñoz-Quezada, S., Gómez-Lorente, C., Gil, A. Probiotic mechanisms of action. Ann. Nutr. Metab. 2012, 61, 160–174.
25. Fontana, L., Bermudez-Brito, M., Plaza-Diaz, J., Muñoz-Quezada, S., Gil, A. Sources, isolation, characterization of probiotics. Br. J. Nutr. 2012, 107, 235–250.
26. Pinear, M.; Asp, N.G.; Reid, G.; Macfarlane, S.; Morelli, L.; Brunser, D.; Tuohy, K. FAO Technical meeting on probiotics. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2008, 42, S156–S159.
27. Upadhyay, N.; Moudgal, V. Probiotics: A Review. J. Clin. Outcomes Manag. 2012, 19, 78–84.
28. Kim, S.W.; Suda, W.; Kim, S.; Ohno, K.; Fu, S.; Saito, S.; Ohno, H.; Morita, H.; Hattori, M. Robustness of gut microbiota of healthy adults in response to probiotic intervention revealed by high-throughput pyrosequencing. DNA Res. 2013, 20, 241–253.
29. Ferrario, C.; Taverniti, V.; Milani, C.; Fiore, W.; Laureati, M.; de Noni, I.; Stuknytė, M.; Chouaib, B.; Riso, P.; Guglielmetti, S. Modulation of fecal Clostridiales bacteria and butyrate by probiotic intervention with Lactobacillus paracasei DG varies among healthy adults. J. Nutr. 2014, 144, 1787–1796.
30. Yoo, J.Y.; Kim, S.S. Probiotics and prebiotics: Present status and future perspectives on metabolic disorders. Nutrients 2016, 8, 173.
31. Larsen, N.; Vogensen, F.K.; Gobel, R.J.; Michaelsen, K.F.; Forstent, S.D.; Lahtinen, S.J.; Jakobsen, M. Effect of Lactobacillus salivarius LS-33 on fecal microbiota in obese adolescents. Clin. Nutr. 2013, 32, 935–940.
32. Gobel, R.J.; Larsen, N.; Jakobsen, M.; Melgaard, C.; Michaelsen, K.F. Probiotics to adolescents with obesity: Effects on inflammation and metabolic syndrome. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 2012, 55, 673–678.
33. Kadooka, Y.; Sato, M.; Imaizumi, K.; Ogawa, A.; Ikiyama, K.; Akai, Y.; Okano, M.; Kagoshima, M.; Tsuchida, T. Regulation of abdominal adiposity by probiotics (Lactobacillus gasseri SBT2055) in adults with obese tendencies in a randomized controlled trial. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2010, 64, 638–643.

34. Kadooka, Y.; Sato, M.; Ogawa, A.; Miyoshi, M.; Uenishi, H.; Ogawa, H. Effect of Lactobacillus gasseri SBT2055 in fermented milk on abdominal adiposity in adults in a randomized controlled trial. Br. J. Nutr. 2013, 110, 1696–1703.
35. Sharafetdinov, K.K.; Plotnikova, O.A.; Alaveeva, R.L.; Sentsova, T.B.; Songsepp, E.; Stepetova, J.; Smidt, I.; Mikelsaar, M. Hypocaloric diet supplemented with probiotic cheese improves body mass index and blood pressure indices of obese hypertensive patients—A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled pilot study. Nutr. J. 2013, 12, 138.
36. Zarrari, M.; Salehi, E.; Nourielyani, K.; Mofid, V.; Zadeh, M.J.; Nasafi, F.; Ghaffari, Z.; Bidad, K.; Chamari, M.; Karimi, M., et al. Effects of probiotic yogurt on fat distribution and gene expression of proinflammatory factors in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in overweight and obese people with or without weight-loss diet. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 2014, 33, 417–425.
37. Zarrari, M.; Shidfar, F.; Nourielyani, K.; Mofid, V.; Hossein Zadeh-Attar, M.; Bidad, K.; Najafi, F.; Ghaffari, Z.; Chamari, M.; Salehi, E. Lactobacillus acidophilus La5, Bifidobacterium BB12, and Lactobacillus casei DN01 modulate gene expression of subcutaneous fat and overweight people. Biofactors 2013, 39, 633–643.
38. Zarrari, M.; Salehi, E.; Mofid, V.; Hossein Zadeh-Attar, M.J.; Nourielyani, K.; Bidad, K.; Shidfar, F. Relationship between probiotic consumption and IL-10 and IL-17 secreted by PBMCs in overweight and obese people. Iran. J. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2013, 12, 404–406.
39. Agerholm-Larsen, L.; Raben, A.; Haukik, N.; Hansen, A.S.; Manders, M.; Astrup, A. Effect of 8 weeks intake of probiotic milk products on risk factors for cardiovascular diseases. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2000, 54, 288–297.
40. Rajkumar, H.; Mahmood, N.; Kumar, M.; Varikuti, S.R.; Challa, H.R.; Myakala, S.P. Effect of probiotic (VSL#3) and Q-3 on lipid profile, insulin sensitivity, inflammatory markers, and gut colonization in overweight adults: A randomized, controlled trial. Mediat. Inflamm. 2014, 2014, 548959.
41. Brahe, L.K.; Le Chatelier, E.; Prifti, E.; Pons, N.; Kennedy, S.; Blaedel, T.; Håkansson, J.; Dalsgaard, T.K.; Hansen, T.; Pedersen, O. Dietary modulation of the gut microbiota—A randomized controlled trial in obese postmenopausal women. Br. J. Nutr. 2015, 114, 406–417.
42. Ivey, K.L.; Hodgson, J.M.; Kerr, D.A.; Lewis, J.R.; Thompson, R.L.; Prince, R.L. The effects of probiotic bacteria on glycaemic control in overweight men and women: A randomized controlled trial. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2014, 68, 447–452.
43. Ivey, K.L.; Hodgson, J.M.; Kerr, D.A.; Thompson, P.L.; Stojacek, B.; Prince, R.L. The effect of yogurt and its probiotics on blood pressure and serum lipid profile; a randomized controlled trial. Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis. 2015, 25, 46–51.
44. Safavi, M.; Farajian, S.; Kelishadi, R.; Mirlohi, M.; Hashempour, M. The effects of synbiotic supplementation on some cardio metabolic risk factors in overweight and obese children: A randomized triple-blind controlled trial. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 2013, 64, 687–693.
45. Ibar, N.; Aydogdu, S.D.; Yildirim, G.K.; Inal, M.; Gies, L.; Vandengas, V.; Dinleyko, E.C. Effects of synbiotic on anthropometry, lipid profile and oxidative stress in obese children. Benef. Microbes 2015, 6, 775–781.
46. Leber, B.; Tripodi, N.J.; Blattl, D.; Eder, M.; Wascher, T.C.; Pieber, T.R.; Stauder, R.; Souiri, H.; Ottl, K.; Stadlbauer, V. The influence of probiotic supplementation on gut permeability in patients with metabolic syndrome: An open label, randomized pilot study. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2013, 66, 1110–1115.
47. Tripodi, N.J.; Leber, B.; Blattl, D.; Eder, M.; Wornisch, W.; Schrnagel, H.; Stojacek, T.; Obermayer-Pietsch, B.; Wascher, T.C.; Pieber, T.R., et al. Effect of supplementation with Lactobacillus casei Shirota on insulin sensitivity, β-cell function, and markers of endothelial function and inflammation in subjects with metabolic syndrome—A pilot study. J. Dairy Sci. 2013, 96, 89–96.
48. Barreto, F.M.; Colado Simão, A.N.; Morimoto, H.K.; Batista Lozovoy, M.A.; Dichi, I.; Helena da Silva Migonizora, L. Beneficial effects of Lactobacillus plantarum on glycemia and homocysteine levels in postmenopausal women with metabolic syndrome. Nutrition 2014, 30, 939–942.
49. Eslamparast, T.; Zarrari, F.; Helmatdoost, A.; Sharafshah, M.; Eghtesad, S.; Malekzadeh, R.; Yousefi, H. Effects of synbiotic supplementation on insulin resistance in subjects with the metabolic syndrome: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. Br. J. Nutr. 2014, 112, 438–445.
50. Hariri, M.; Salehi, R.; Faezi, A.; Mirshahi, M.; Ghiasvand, R.; Habibi, N. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial on probiotic soy milk and soy milk: Effects on epigenetics and oxidative stress in patients with type 2 diabetes. Genes Nutr. 2015, 10, 52.
51. Tonucci, L.B.; Olbrich Dos Santos, K.M.; Luciani de Oliveira, L.; Rocha Ribeiro, S.M.; Duarte Martino, H.S. Clinical application of probiotics in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Clin. Nutr. 2015.
52. Mohammadshahi, M.; Veissi, M.; Haidari, F.; Javid, A.Z.; Mohammadi, F.; Shirbeigi, E. Effects of probiotic yogurt consumption on lipid profile in type 2 diabetic patients: A randomized controlled clinical trial. J. Res. Med. Sci. 2014, 19, 531–536.
53. Ejlertsh, H.S.; Mottahedeh, J.; Homayoun-Rad, A.; Nafar, M.; Asghari-Jafarabadi, M.; Mofid, V. Probiotic yogurt improves antioxidant status in type 2 diabetic patients. Nutrition 2011, 26, 539–543.
54. Ejlertsh, H.S.; Mottahedeh, J.; Homayoun-Rad, A.; Nafar, M.; Asghari-Jafarabadi, M.; Mofid, V.; Alibarian-Moghari, A. Effect of probiotic yogurt containing Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium lactis on lipid profile in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J. Dairy Sci. 2011, 94, 3288–3294.
55. Andreasen, A.S.; Larsen, N.; Pedersen-Skovsgaard, T.; Berg, R.M.; Møller, K.; Svendsen, K.D.; Jakobsen, M.; Pedersen, B.K. Effects of Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM on insulin sensitivity and the systemic inflammatory response in human subjects. Br. J. Nutr. 2010, 104, 1831–1838.
56. Asemi, Z.; Zohreh, Z.; Shakari, H.; Saima-Adati Sabihi, S.S.; Esmailzadeh, A. Effect of multispecies probiotic supplements on metabolic profiles, hs-CRP and oxidative stress in patients with type 2 diabetes. Ann. Nutr. Metab. 2013, 63, 1–9.
57. Tajabadi-Ebrahimi, M.; Bahmani, F.; Shakari, H.; Hadaegh, H.; Hijjajari, M.; Abedi, F.; Asemi, Z. Effects of daily consumption of synbiotic bread on insulin metabolism and serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein among diabetic patients: A double-blind, randomized, controlled clinical trial. Ann. Nutr. Metab. 2014, 65, 34–41.
58. Shakari, H.; Hadaegh, H.; Abedi, F.; Tajabadi-Ebrahimi, M.; Mazroji, N.; Ghandi, Y.; Asemi, Z. Consumption of synbiotic bread decreases triacylglycerol and VLDL levels while increasing HDL levels in serum from patients with type-2 diabetes. Lipids 2014, 49, 696–701.
59. Moroti, C.; Souza Magri, L.F.; Rezende-Costa, M.; Cavallini, D.; Siveri, K. Effect of the consumption of a new synbiotic shake on glycemia and cholesterol levels in elderly people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Lipids Health Dis. 2012, 11, 29.
60. Aller, R.; De Luis, D.A.; Izaola, O.; Conde, R.; Gonzalez Sagrado, M.; Primo, D.; de la Fuente, B.; Gonzalez, J. Effect of a probiotic on liver aminotransferases in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients: A double-blind randomized clinical trial. Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci. 2011, 75, 1090–1095.
61. Vajro, P.; Mandato, C.; Licenziati, M.R.; Franzese, A.; Vitale, D.F.; Lenta, S.; Caropreso, M.; Vallone, G.; Meli, R. Effects of Lactobacillus rhamnosus strain GG in pediatric obesity-related liver disease. J. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 2011, 52, 740–743.
62. Nabavi, S.; Rafraf, M.; Sormi, M.H.; Homayoun-Rad, A.; Asghari-Jafarabadi, M. Effects of probiotic yogurt consumption on metabolic factors in individuals with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J. Dairy Sci. 2014, 97, 7386–7393.
63. Alisi, A.; Badogli, G.; Boverio, G.; Giorgio, V.; Porro, E.; Paris, C.; Giammaria, P.; Reali, L.; Annarita, F.; Nobili, V. Randomised clinical trial: The beneficial effects of VLS#3 in obese children with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2014, 39, 1276–1285.
64. Sandoval, D.A.; D'Alessio, D.A. Physiology of proglucagon peptides: Role of glucagon and GLP-1 in health and disease. Physiol. Rev. 2015, 95, 513–548.
65. Eslamparast, T.; Poustchi, H.; Zarrari, F.; Sharafshah, F.; Malekzadeh, R.; Helmatdoost, A. Synbiotic supplementation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2014, 99, 535–542.
66. Lurussi, F.; Mastropasqua, E.; Orlando, S.; Orlando, R. Probiotics for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and/or steatohepatitis. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2007, 1, CD005165.
67. Wong, W.W., Wn, G.L.; Chim, A.M.; Chu, W.C.; Yeung, D.K.; Li, K.C.; Chan, L. Treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with probiotics: A proof-of-concept study. Ann. Hepatol. 2013, 12, 256–262.

The contents are not to be reproduced in part or in whole, without prior written approval from the editor. Whilst every effort is made in compiling the content of this publication, the publishers, editors and authors accept no liability whatsoever for the consequences of any inaccurate or misleading data, opinions or statements.


### Medical Advisors

Dr Francis Seow-Choen MBBS, FRCSed, FAMS, FRES




Colorectal Surgeon Medical Director & Senior Consultant, Fortis Colorectal Hospital Director, Seow-Choen Colorectal Centre PLC President, Eurasian (European-Asian) Colorectal Technology Association (ECTA) Chairman, Guide Dogs Association of the Blind Singapore Chairman, Board of Directors City College Singapore Vice-President, Singapore-China Association for the Advancement of Science and Technology (SCAAST) Visiting Consultant, Department of Colorectal Surgery, Singapore General Hospital; Depts of General Surgery of Alexandra Hospital; Khoo Teek Phuat Hospital & Tan Tock Seng Hospital Visiting Professor, Tianjin Police Hospital, Tianjin, PRC; Tianjin Union Medical College, Tianjin Colorectal Center, Tianjin, PRC; National Ctr for Colorectal Disease, Nanjing TCM University, Nanjing, PRC; Wenzhou Medical College, Wenzhou, PRC; Dept of Colorectal Surgery, Guang Renmin Hospital, Guangxi, PRC; Chengdu Colorectal Specialist Hospital Co-chairman Constipation Association China

Dr Steven J. Mesenas MBBS (S'pore), MRCP (UK), FAMS (Gastroenterology)



Senior Consultant, Dept of Gastroenterology & Hepatology (SGH) Clinical Senior Lecturer, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine Adjunct Assistant Professor, Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School

Assoc. Prof Reuben Wong Kong Min MBBS (S'pore), MRCP (UK), FRCPed, FAMS (Gastroenterology)



Associate Professor, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine SINGAPORE Senior Consultant, Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, National University Health System SINGAPORE Clinical Director, Gastrointestinal Motility Lab, National University Health System SINGAPORE President, IBS Support Group SINGAPORE

Editor-in-Chief Mr Melvin Wong, CEO

Executive Editors Mr Frederick Sim, B.Pharm


Editorial Board Ms Nang Moon Moon Tint, B.Pharm Mr Leong Wai Sin

The Editor (The Probiotics News) MD Pharmaceuticals Pte Ltd 896 Dunearn Road #02-01A Sime Darby Centre Singapore 589472

Tel: (65) 6465 4321 Fax: (65) 6469 8979

Website: www.mdpharm.com Email: frederick.sim@mdpharm.com or waisin.leong@mdpharm.com

Printed by Chin Hiap Hong Corporation Pte Ltd



Int J Mol Sci. 2016 Jun 13;17(6). pii: E928. doi: 10.3390/ijms17060928. Review

## Effects of Probiotics and Synbiotics on Obesity, Insulin Resistance Syndrome, Type 2 Diabetes and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Review of Human Clinical Trials

17th Edition

### Message from the Editor

Welcome to another issue of The Probiotic News!

In this issue, we bring you a review of the potential beneficial effects of probiotics in the prevention and treatment of metabolic disorders like obesity, insulin resistance syndrome (IRS), type 2 diabetes (T2D) and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). This is exciting because it has once again proven that probiotics have a tangible effect on the human physiology and metabolic makeup by changing the gut microflora.

We hope you will enjoy reading the article and please feel free to drop us any comment.

See you again in the next issue.

Melvin Wong


Editor-in-chief

### Abstract:

The use of probiotics and synbiotics in the prevention and treatment of different disorders has dramatically increased over the last decade. Both probiotics and synbiotics are well known ingredients of functional foods and nutraceuticals and may provide beneficial health effects because they can influence the intestinal microbial ecology and immunity. The present study reviews the effects of probiotics and synbiotics on obesity, insulin resistance syndrome (IRS), type 2 diabetes (T2D) and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in human randomized clinical trials. Select probiotics and synbiotics provided beneficial effects in patients with obesity, mainly affecting the body mass index and fat mass. Some probiotics had beneficial effects on IRS, decreasing the cell adhesion molecule-1 levels, and the synbiotics decreased the insulin resistance and plasma lipid levels. Moreover, select probiotics improved the carbohydrate metabolism, fasting blood glucose, insulin sensitivity and antioxidant status and also reduced metabolic stress in subjects with T2D. Some probiotics and synbiotics improved the liver and metabolic parameters in patients with NAFLD. The oral intake of probiotics and synbiotics as co-adjutants for the prevention and treatment of obesity, IRS, T2D and NAFLD is partially supported by the data shown in the present review. However, further studies are required to understand the precise mechanism of how probiotics and synbiotics affect these metabolic disorders.

### Keywords:

probiotics; randomized clinical trial; obesity; insulin resistance; metabolic syndrome X; type 2 diabetes; non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; synbiotics





## 1. Introduction

The microbiota (the full collection of microbes that naturally exist within a particular biological niche) has a profound influence on human physiology, affecting metabolism and the immune system and protecting against pathogens while modulating gastrointestinal (GI) development [1,2,3]. Perturbations in the composition of the microbiota may be especially important during early life, when the immune system is still developing[4].

Obesity is one of the most important public health problems worldwide, affecting both developed and emerging countries. It is characterized by an abnormal excess of white adipose tissue, which is a major risk factor for the development of diabetes, cardiovascular disease and cancer [5,6]. Among the studied potential determinants of obesity, the intestinal microbiota has been proposed to have an impact on the energy balance in humans [7,8,9,10,11]. Specific bacterial populations, such as *Prevotellaceae*, *Blautia coccoides*, *Eubacteria rectale* group, *Lactobacillus*, and *Bifidobacterium*, have been reported to be related to obesity. Consequently, it is believed that modulation of the intestinal microbiota toward a healthier "non-obese" profile might present a promising tool for prevention [12].

Metabolic syndrome is referred to as syndrome X or insulin resistance syndrome (IRS). Currently, the number of individuals with IRS is increasing worldwide and is primarily composed of those with abdominal obesity, glucose intolerance, dyslipidemia and high blood pressure. IRS increases cardiovascular morbidity and mortality as well as overall mortality [13]. Low insulin sensitivity, particularly in the visceral adipose tissue, leads to increased free fatty acid flux and inhibition of insulin action in insulin-sensitive tissues. Moreover, IRS is also associated with the development of diabetes mellitus [13,14].

There are about 382 million people living with diabetes in the world, and the number is expected to rise to 592 million by 2035 according to the International Diabetes Federation. The pathogenetic mechanism of type 2 diabetes (T2D) has not yet been completely elucidated. Recent evidence suggests that the intestinal microbiota composition is associated with the development of T2D [15]. A clear relationship has been demonstrated between T2D and compositional changes in the gut microbiota, with a lower relative abundance of *Firmicutes* and a higher proportion of *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* in T2D patients [16,17].

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common type of liver disease worldwide that affects both adults and children. NAFLD encompasses a broad spectrum of diseases from simple steatosis at early stages to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and fibrosis, and it eventually may lead to cirrhosis. NAFLD may be caused mainly by obesity, but it can also be caused by T2D, glucocorticoids, and small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) caused by inflammatory bowel disease. Considering the intimate relationship between the intestine and the liver (called the gut-liver axis), it is becoming widely accepted that the composition of the gut microbiota is an environmental factor that affects host metabolism and contributes to the associated pathological conditions, such as obesity [18].

Probiotics are live microorganisms that confer a health benefit on the host when administered in adequate amounts [19], although dead bacteria and their components can also show probiotic properties. *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* strains are the most widely used bacteria exhibiting probiotic properties and are included in many functional foods and dietary supplements [20]. Major mechanisms underlying the antagonistic effects of probiotics include improvement of the gut barrier function, increased competitive adherence to the mucosa and epithelium, gut microbiota modification, and regulation of the gut associated lymphoid immune system. In this sense, probiotics communicate with the host through intestinal cell pattern recognition receptors, such as toll-like receptors and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein-like receptors, which modulate important key signaling pathways, such as nuclear factor-κB and mitogen-activated protein kinase, to enhance or suppress activation and influence downstream pathways [21,22,23,24,25].

A prebiotic is a nonviable food component that confers a health benefit on the host associated with modulation of the microbiota, they may be a fiber, but a fiber is not necessarily a prebiotic. Using probiotics and prebiotics in combination is often described as synbiotic, only if the net health benefit is synergistic [26].

Probiotics and synbiotics are consumed in many and diverse forms, such as yogurt and other fermented milks, cheese and several fermented foods, and also as prevention and treatment for different GI tract dysfunctions and other diseases like allergy [27]. However, the actual effects of probiotics to affect intestinal ecology is still under debate because there are numerous confounding elements, such as dissimilarities in microbial strains, concentrations of viable cells and product formulations [3,28,29].

Recently, Yoo and Kim (2016) [30] have indicated that probiotics

and prebiotics affect T2D and cardiovascular diseases by changing gut microbiota, regulating insulin signaling, and lowering cholesterol.

The present review was conducted to investigate the effectiveness of probiotics and synbiotics in the prevention and treatment of obesity, IRS, T2D and NAFLD in human studies designed as clinical trials.

## 2. Results

### 2.1. Effects of Probiotics and Synbiotics on Obesity

**2.1.1. Probiotics**
*Lactobacillus salivarius* Ls-33 was tested in obese adolescents to investigate its impact on fecal microbiota and anthropometric measures, biomarkers related to inflammation, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism. The ratios of *Bacteroides*, *Prevotellae*, and *Porphyromonas* group bacteria to *Firmicutes*-belonging bacteria, including *Clostridium* cluster XIV, *Blautia coccoides*, *Eubacterium* rectale group and *Roseburia intestinalis*, were significantly increased after the administration of *L. salivarius* Ls-33. However, the overall cell numbers of fecal bacteria, including the groups above as well as *Clostridium* cluster I and cluster IV, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Enterococcus*, *Lactobacillus* group and *Bifidobacterium* spp., were not significantly altered by intervention. Similarly, the short chain fatty acids (SCFA) remained unaffected [31]. Moreover, Gobel *et al.*, (2012) [32] carried out an intervention to study the *Lactobacillus salivarius* Ls-33 effects on some inflammation biomarkers and several parameters of metabolic syndrome in adolescents with obesity. However, they did not find changes in those parameters.

The effect of *L. gasseri* SBT2055 was examined in two studies using a cohort of Japanese adults with large visceral fat areas (VFA). The participants were randomly assigned to three groups receiving increasing colony-forming units (CFUs) of *L. gasseri* SBT2055 for 12 weeks. The results showed a reduction in body mass index (BMI), waist, abdominal VFA and hip circumferences [33,34]. Additionally, a hypocaloric diet supplemented with a probiotic-enriched cheese containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* reduced the BMI, the putrescine content and the intestinal lactobacilli in Russian adults with obesity and hypertension. Similarly, there was a reduction in the blood pressure (BP), namely a lower diastolic BP and a tendency toward lower systolic BP at the end of the intervention in the obese hypertensive patients that received the hypocaloric diet supplemented with the probiotic cheese [35].

The administration of *L. acidophilus* La5, *B. lactis* Bb12, and *L. casei* DN001 was evaluated in individuals with high BMI who were randomly assigned to three groups depending on particular intervention diets: one group was established with a regular yogurt with low calorie diet (RLCD), the second one received a probiotic yogurt with low calorie diet (PLCD) and, the third one received probiotic yogurt without low calorie diet (PWLCD) for about two months. A reduction in BMI, fat percentage, and leptin level was observed that was more obvious in groups who received the weight-loss diet including probiotic yogurt. Additionally, a reduction in the serum levels of CRP was more evident in the PWLCD group than in the PLCD and RLCD groups after the 8-week intervention. The expression of the *FOXP3*, *Tbet*, *GATA3*, *TNF-α*, *IFN-γ*, *TGF-β* and *ROR-γt* genes in peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMCs) were assessed before and after intervention. In the three groups, ROR-γt expression was reduced and FOXP3 was increased. The expression of the TNF-α, TGF-β and GATA3 genes did not change. Interestingly, Tbet gene expression was down-regulated in the PLCD and PWLCD groups. However, the IFN-γ expression was down-regulated in all groups. The authors suggested that weight loss diet and probiotics yogurt had effects on gene expression in PBMCs among overweight and obese individuals [36,37,38].

An 8-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo- and compliance-controlled parallel study in overweight and obese subjects was conducted to evaluated the effects of one strain of *E. faecium* and two strains of *S. thermophilus* [39]. The patients were randomly divided into five groups: (1) a yogurt fermented with two strains of *S. thermophilus* and two strains of *L. acidophilus*; (2) a placebo yogurt fermented with delta-actin-lactone; (3) a yogurt fermented with two strains of *S. thermophilus* and one strain of *L. rhamnosus*; (4) a yogurt fermented with one strain of *E. faecium* and two strains of *S. thermophilus*; and finally (5) two placebo pills daily [39]. After adjustment for small changes in body weight, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) decreased and fibrinogen increased significantly after 8 weeks in the group that received the yogurt fermented with one strain of *E. faecium* and two strains of *S. thermophilus* compared to the group consuming chemically fermented yogurt and the placebo pill group. Additionally, after 8 weeks, the systolic BP was significantly more reduced in group 1 and the group that received the yogurt fermented with one strain of *E. faecium* and two strains of *S. thermophilus* than in group 3 [39].

The administration of capsules with bifidobacteria, lactobacilli,

and *S. thermophilus* was conducted in overweight subjects. The probiotic mixture had a significant improvement in their lipid profiles, reducing total cholesterol (TC), triacylglycerols (TAG), and LDL-C levels and increasing high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels. The probiotic mixture improved insulin sensitivity and decreased C-reactive protein (CRP) [40].

On the other hand, a total of 58 obese, postmenopausal women were randomized into a single-blinded, parallel-group intervention of 6-week duration, with a daily ingestion of *L. paracasei* F19, flaxseed mucilage or placebo. The intake of *L. paracasei* F19 did not modulate any metabolic markers (homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), Matsuda index, CRP, and lipid profile) compared with the placebo [41]. Moreover, the intake of *L. acidophilus* La5 and *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bb12 not affected the HOMA-IR, BP, heart rate or serum lipid concentrations in overweight adults [42,43].

### 2.1.2. Synbiotics

The impact of *L. rhamnosus* CGMCC1.3724 with oligo-fructose and inulin supplementation was investigated on weight loss and maintenance in obese men and women during 24 weeks[12].

The mean weight loss in women in the *L. rhamnosus* group was significantly higher than in women in the placebo group after the first 12 weeks, whereas it was similar in men in the two groups. The *L. rhamnosus*-induced weight loss in women was associated not only with significant reductions in fat mass and circulating leptin concentrations but also with the relative abundance of bacteria of the *Lachnospiraceae* family in the feces; this family belongs to the *Firmicutes* phylum, a taxonomic group that has previously been reported to be positively associated with obesity [12].

In obese children, two studies determined the effect of synbiotic supplementation on cardiometabolic risk factors, anthropometric measurements, serum lipid profile, and oxidative stress levels. The intake of synbiotics resulted in a significant reduction in the BMI z-score and waist circumference, as well as in some cardiometabolic risk factors, such as TC, LDL-C and TAG [36,37], and also changes in anthropometric measurements (% reduction comparing to baseline) were significantly higher in the children receiving synbiotics. After synbiotic supplementation, total oxidative stress serum levels significantly decreased [44,45].

In summary, the supplementation of selected probiotics appears to have beneficial effects on BMI, waist circumference, VFA and hip circumference in overweight or obese people. Moreover, it has been reported that some probiotic strains modulate the gene expression of specific transcription factors such as ROR-γt (down-regulated) and FOXP3 (up-regulated) in PBMCs. This was associated with beneficial effects on the immune system among overweight and obese individuals. However, no effects were observed at the level of inflammatory biomarkers in the administration of *L. paracasei* F19, and *L. acidophilus* La5 and *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bb12. On the other hand, some synbiotics can reduce BMI in women, decrease fat mass and serum leptin levels and increase the levels of the *Lachnospiraceae* family in the feces. Finally, synbiotic treatment would help to decrease the BMI z-score and waist circumference in children, as well as TC, LDL-C and TAG serum levels. Table 1 summarizes the studies of probiotics and synbiotics in obesity.

**Table 1.** Summary of randomized clinical intervention trials of probiotics and synbiotics in obesity.

Reference	Subjects	Strain/Dose	Time	Main Outcome
Probiotics				
Larsen <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [31]	50 obese adolescents	<i>L. salivarius</i> Ls-33	12 wk	Increase in the ratios of <i>Bacteroides</i> , <i>Prevotellae</i> and <i>Porphyromonas</i> .
Gobel <i>et al.</i> , 2012 [32]	50 adolescents with obesity	<i>L. salivarius</i> Ls-33, 10 <sup>10</sup> CFU	12 wk	No effect.
Kadooka <i>et al.</i> , 2010 [33]	87 subjects with high BMI	<i>L. gasseri</i> SBT2055, 5 x 10 <sup>10</sup> CFU	12 wk	Reduction in BMI, abdominal VFA, Increase in adiponectin levels.
Kadooka <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [34]	210 adults with large VFA	<i>L. gasseri</i> SBT2055, 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU	12 wk	Reduction in BMI, waist, abdominal VFA and hip circumference.
Sharafedinov <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [35]	40 adults with obesity	<i>L. plantarum</i> 1.5 x 10 <sup>11</sup> CFU/g	3 wk	Reduction in BMI and arterial BP values.
Zarrati <i>et al.</i> , 2013a, 2013b, 2014 [36,37,38]	75 subjects with high BMI	<i>L. acidophilus</i> La5, <i>B. lactis</i> Bb12, and <i>L. casei</i> DN001, 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/g	8 wk	Changes in gene expression in PBMCs as well as BMI, fat percentage and leptin levels.
Agerholm-Larsen <i>et al.</i> , 2000 [39]	70 overweight and obese subjects	<i>E. faecium</i> and two strains of <i>S.thermophilus</i>	8 wk	Reduction in body weight, systolic BP, LDL-C, and increase on fibrinogen levels.
Rajkumar <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [40]	80 overweight subjects	<i>Bifidobacteria</i> , lactobacilli, and <i>S. thermophilus</i>	6 wk	Improvement in lipid profile, insulin sensitivity, and decrease in CRP.
Brahe <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [41]	58 obese PM women	<i>L. paracasei</i> N19, 9.4 x 10 <sup>10</sup> CFU	6 wk	No effect.
Ivey <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015 [42,43]	156 overweight adults	<i>L. acidophilus</i> La5, <i>B. animalis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> Bb12	6 wk	Reduction in fasting glucose concentration and increase in HOMA-IR.
Synbiotics				
Sánchez <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [12]	153 obese men and women	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> CGMCC 1.3724, 6 x 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU, and inulin	36 wk	Weight loss and reduction in leptin, Increase in <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> .

Reference	Subjects	Strain/Dose	Time	Main Outcome
Synbiotics				
Safavi <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [44]	70 children and adolescents with high BMI	<i>L. casei</i> , <i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>S. thermophilus</i> , <i>B. breve</i> , <i>L. acidophilus</i> , <i>B. longum</i> , <i>L. bulgaricus</i> , and FOS	8 wk	Decrease in BMI z-score and waist circumference.
Ipar <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [45]	77 obese children	<i>L. acidophilus</i> , <i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>B. bifidum</i> , <i>B. longum</i> , <i>E. faecium</i> , and FOC	4 wk	Changes in anthropometric measurements. Decrease in TC, LDL-C and total oxidative stress serum levels.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CFU, colony-forming-unit; CRP, C-reactive protein; FOS, fructo-oligosaccharides; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PM, postmenopausal; TC, total cholesterol; VFA, visceral fat area; wk, week.

### 2.2. Effects of Probiotics and Synbiotics on Insulin Resistance Syndrome

#### 2.2.1. Probiotics

The effects of *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota were evaluated in patients with IRS for studying the gut permeability, the presence of endotoxin and neutrophil function, the insulin sensitivity index, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index, insulin sensitivity by oral glucose tolerance test, HOMA-IR and β-cell function. The gut permeability increases, but the endotoxin and neutrophil function remain unaffected [46]. The only parameter that improved after probiotic administration was insulin sensitivity index [47]. IRS in postmenopausal women is an important risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity, especially stroke and coronary heart disease and mortality. The effectiveness of *L. plantarum* or placebo was evaluated in postmenopausal women over the course of 90 days. The TC, interleukin (IL)-6 and γ-glutamyltranspeptidase (γ-GTP) levels were significantly decreased in both groups at the end of the study, whereas the LDL-C level was significantly lower in the placebo group. Glucose and homocysteine levels were significantly reduced in the *L. plantarum* group compared with the placebo group [48].

#### 2.2.2. Synbiotics

Patients with IRS were supplemented with either synbiotic capsules containing seven strains plus fructo-oligosaccharide or placebo capsules to evaluate the insulin resistance and lipid profile. Levels of fasting blood sugar and insulin resistance were improved significantly in the synbiotic group [49].

In summary (Table 2), some probiotic strains have beneficial effects such as decreasing the cell adhesion molecule-1 levels. Moreover, in postmenopausal women, *L. plantarum* decreased TC, IL-6, γ-GTP, glucose and homocysteine levels after 90 days. Finally, synbiotic mixture improved the insulin resistance and HDL-C and reduced the TAG and TC levels in subjects with IRS.

**Table 2.** Summary of randomized clinical intervention trials of probiotics and synbiotics in insulin resistance syndrome.

Reference	Subjects	Strain/Dose	Time	Main Outcome
Probiotics				
Leber <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [46]	28 patients with IRS	<i>L. casei</i> Shirota, 3 x 6.5 x 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU	12 wk	No effects.
Tripoti <i>et al.</i> , 2012 [47]	30 patients with IRS	<i>L. casei</i> Shirota	12 wk	Significant reduction in ths/svCAM-1 level.
Barreto <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [48]	24 PM women with IRS	<i>L. plantarum</i>	12 wk	Glucose and homocysteine levels were significantly reduced.
Synbiotics				
Eslamiparsari <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [49]	30 subjects with IRS	<i>L. casei</i> , <i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>S. thermophilus</i> , <i>B. breve</i> , <i>L. acidophilus</i> , <i>B. longum</i> , <i>L. bulgaricus</i> , and FOS	28 wk	The levels of fasting blood sugar and insulin resistance improved significantly.

Abbreviations: CFU, colony-forming-unit; FOS, fructo-oligosaccharides; IRS, insulin resistance syndrome; svCAM-1, soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1; wk, week.

### 2.3. Effects of Probiotics and Synbiotics in Type 2 Diabetes

#### 2.3.1. Probiotics

Hariri *et al.* (2015) investigated the effect of consuming probiotic soy milk containing *L. plantarum* A7 or soy milk alone. Each day, the subjects received probiotic soy milk or regular soy milk to supplement their usual diet. Probiotic soy milk significantly decreased the levels of promoter methylation in the proximal and distal *MLH1* promoter region compared with the baseline values, while the plasma concentration of 8-hydroxy-20-deoxyguanosine decreased significantly compared with the subjects receiving soy milk. Additionally, a significant increase in superoxide dismutase activity was observed in the probiotic soy milk group compared with the baseline value. However, there were no significant changes from baseline in the promoter methylation of *MSH2* within either group. Therefore, *L. plantarum* A7-inoculated soy milk can have antioxidant properties and decrease the risk of mismatched base pairs in DNA among patients with T2D [50].

*L. acidophilus* La-5 and *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* BB-12 administration was evaluated on T2D patients. There was a significant difference between groups concerning mean changes in the HbA1c, TC and LDL-C levels [51]. In addition, an increase in HDL-C levels and a decrease in the LDL-C/HDL-C ratio in the intervention group [52].

Previous studies using the same strains [53,54] were carried out in T2D patients. The authors reported significantly decreased fasting blood glucose, TC, LDL-C and hemoglobin A1c levels as well as increased erythrocyte superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase activity and total antioxidant status compared with the control group. They concluded that probiotic yogurt is a promising tool for diabetes management, and it may be a functional food that can exert antidiabetic and antioxidant properties [53,54].

In a double-blind, randomized study, males with T2D, impaired or normal glucose tolerance were enrolled and placed on a 4-week treatment course with either *L. acidophilus* NCFM or a placebo to investigate the effects of oral supplementation with the probiotic on insulin sensitivity and the inflammatory response [55]. After the treatment, the probiotic strain was detected in 75% of the fecal samples. Insulin sensitivity was preserved only among volunteers in the *L. acidophilus* NCFM group.

Finally, baseline inflammatory markers and the systemic inflammatory response were unaffected by the *L. acidophilus* NCFM supplementation [55].

#### 2.3.2. Synbiotics

The synbiotic supplementation was used to determine the effects on metabolic profiles, CRP and oxidative stress in T2D patients. The multispecies probiotic supplement consisted of 7 viable and freeze-dried strains and fructo-oligosaccharides. A significant increase in HOMA-IR in both groups was detected. However, the increase in the placebo group was significantly higher than that in the probiotic group. The mean changes in serum CRP were significantly lower in the experimental group. Additionally, probiotic supplementation led to a significant increase in the plasma total glutathione levels compared to the placebo [56].

A clinical trials were performed in T2D patients to examined the effects of synbiotic bread contained *L. sporogenes* and inulin. Consumption of the synbiotic bread resulted in a significant reduction in the serum insulin levels, HOMA-IR, and homeostatic model assessment-β-cell function, serum lipid profile (TAG, TC/HDL-C) and a significant increase in the serum HDL-C levels compared to the control bread [57,58].

Finally, a synbiotic shake containing *L. acidophilus*, *B. bifidum* and fructo-oligosaccharides was investigated on glycemic and cholesterol levels in elderly people with T2D. The TC, TAG, HDL-C and blood sugar levels were evaluated. The synbiotic group did not experience any reduction in total cholesterol and TAG levels, but had a significant increase in HDL-C and a significant reduction in fasting glycemia [59].

In summary (Table 3), some of the effects of probiotics in subjects with T2D included a lower fasting blood glucose level, improved insulin sensitivity and an increased antioxidant status. Some synbiotics increased the total glutathione levels, HDL-C and reduced the fasting glycemia levels and CRP. Moreover, an improved serum lipid profile was observed in patients with T2D after the consumption of synbiotics.

**Table 3.** Summary of randomized clinical intervention trials of probiotics and synbiotics in type 2 diabetes.

Reference	Subjects	Strain/Dose	Time	Main Outcome
Probiotics				
Hariri <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [50]	40 patients with T2D	<i>L. plantarum</i> A7	8 wk	Decrease methylation process, SOD and 8-OHdG.
Tonucci <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [51]	50 patients with T2D	<i>L. acidophilus</i> La-5 and <i>B. animalis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> BB-12	6 wk	Significant difference between groups concerning mean changes of HbA1c, TC and LDL-C.
Mohamadshah <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [52]	44 patients with T2D	<i>L. acidophilus</i> La-5 and <i>B. animalis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> BB-12	8 wk	Increase HDL-C levels and decreased LDL-C / HDL-C ratio
Ejtaeh <i>et al.</i> , 2012 [53]	64 patients with T2D	<i>L. acidophilus</i> La-5 and <i>B. lactis</i> Bb-12	6 wk	Reduced fasting blood glucose and antioxidant status.
Ejtaeh <i>et al.</i> , 2011 [54]	60 patients with T2D	<i>L. acidophilus</i> La-5 and <i>B. lactis</i> Bb-12	6 wk	TC and LDL-C improvement.
Andresen <i>et al.</i> , 2010 [55]	45 males with T2D	<i>L. acidophilus</i> NCFM	4 wk	No effect.
Synbiotics				
Asemi <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [56]	54 patients with T2D (35-70 years)	<i>L. acidophilus</i> , <i>L. casei</i> , <i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>L. bulgaricus</i> , <i>B. breve</i> , <i>B. longum</i> , <i>S. thermophilus</i> , 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU, and 100 mg FOS	8 wk	Increase HOMA-IR and TGL plasma level; reduced CRP in serum.
Tajadad-Ebrahimi <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [57]	81 patients with T2D	<i>L. sporogenes</i> , 1 x 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU, and 0,07g inulin per 1g	8 wk	Significant reduction in serum insulin levels, HOMA-IR, and homeostatic model assessment-β-cell function.
Shakeri <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [58]	78 patients with T2D	<i>L. sporogenes</i> , 1 x 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU, and 0,07g inulin per 1g	8 wk	Decrease in serum lipid profile (TAG, TC /HDL-C) and a significant increase in serum HDL-C levels.
Moroti <i>et al.</i> , 2012 [59]	20 patients with T2D	<i>L. acidophilus</i> 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/mL, <i>B. bifidum</i> 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/mL, and 2g oligofructose	8 wk	Increase HDL-C and reduced fasting glycemia.

Abbreviations: 8-OHDG; 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine; CFU, colony-forming-unit; CRP, C-reactive protein; FOS, fructo-oligosaccharides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SOD, superoxide dismutase; T2D, type 2 diabetes; TAG, triacylglycerols; TC, total cholesterol; TGL, total glutathione levels; wk, week.

### 2.4. Effects of Probiotics and Synbiotics in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

#### 2.4.1. Probiotics

The effects of *L. bulgaris* and *S. thermophilus* were measured on different parameters of liver function and cardiovascular risk factors. This treatment revealed a decrease in alanine amino transferase (ALT), aspartate amino transferase (ASP) and γ-GTP levels as indicators of improving liver function [60].

On the other hand, in obese children with NAFLD treated with *L. rhamnosus* strain GG, presented a significant decrease in the titer of anti-peptidoglycan-polysaccharide antibodies, which are suitable as an indirect indicator of SIBO. Moreover, in this randomized clinical trial, a restoration of liver function was also observed in the probiotic group through a decrease in ALT [61].

A double-blind, randomized, controlled clinical trial was conducted using a probiotic yogurt with *L. acidophilus* La5 and *B. lactis* Bb12 for 8 weeks on patients with NAFLD. *L. acidophilus* La5 and *B. lactis* Bb12 consumption resulted in a reduction in the serum levels of ALT, ASP, TC, and LDL-C compared with the control group [62].

In another randomized study, Alisi *et al.* (2014) found a significant improvement in fatty liver severity (evaluated by ultrasound) and a significant decrease in the BMI of children with NAFLD who were treated with bifidobacteria, lactobacilli, and *S. thermophilus* strains for 4 months. These data suggest that those strains might reduce liver fat and therefore prevent the progression of NAFLD [63].

Furthermore, Alisi *et al.* (2014) [63] also evaluated glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1), which is an incretin secreted by cells from the small intestine and proximal colon. GLP-1 is dependent on the presence of nutrients in the lumen of the small intestine. Its physiological effects include the activation of catabolism via an increase in insulin secretion and the suppression of glucagon secretion [63,64]. In this sense, Alisi *et al.* (2014) [63] showed that the circulating levels of the total and active forms of GLP-1 were significantly elevated in the patients after 4 months of treatment with synbiotics. Although there is not yet an adequate amount of data, in humans it has been observed that the use of probiotics improves the efficacy of lifestyle modifications in obese individuals with NAFLD, may improve conventional liver function tests, and may decrease markers of lipid peroxidation [60,61,63,65,66] and NASH [67]. This improvement in liver function could be due to a decrease in SIBO and / or dysbiosis and consequently a minor metabolic endotoxemia in the host because the restoration of normal gut microbiota might reduce intestinal permeability.

### 2.4.2. Synbiotics

The translocation of products derived from bacteria such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), ethanol and SCFA leads to their arrival to the liver from the intestinal lumen. Additionally, SCFAs stimulated the synthesis and storage of hepatic triacylglycerols. This process can saturate the detoxification mechanisms of the liver, resulting in an accumulation of intrahepatic triacylglycerol (IHTG) content, thus increasing the fatty liver severity. Recently, a randomized study on the use of a synbiotic that contains five probiotics (*L. plantarum*, *L. delbrueckii* spp. *bulgaricus*, *L. acidophilus*, *L. rhamnosus*, *B. bifidum*and inulin) over 6 months in adults with NASH produced a significant decrease in IHTG[67].

On the other hand, it is well known that LPS induces pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) which play a critical role in insulin resistance and hepatic inflammatory cell recruitment in NAFLD. In fact, the evaluation of supplementation with a synbiotic, which is a mixture of *L. casei*, *L. rhamnosus*, *S. thermophilus*, *B. breve*, *L. acidophilus*, *B. longum*, *L. bulgaricus* and fructo-oligosaccharides, in a study with 52 adults over 28 weeks, demonstrated that synbiotic supplementation inhibited NF-κB and reduced TNF-α production [65]. These authors indicated an important limitation in their study, as they did not evaluate the gut microbiota to confirm the mechanism of action suggested. Furthermore, these results are still controversial because similar studies did not detect significant modifications in the values of TNF-α following treatment with several probiotics [60,61] and different synbiotics [63,67]. This could be explained by the large differences in several variables observed in the different studies, including the intervention period, the probiotic doses, and the bacterial strains used as well as the study subjects.

In summary (Table 4), some probiotics produced positive effects, mainly by improving liver function and decreasing SIBO. In the case of some synbiotics, the results showed a reduction in liver fat and TNF-α production to prevent NAFLD and its progression.